

WELL-POSEDNESS FOR THE LINEARIZED MOTION OF A COMPRESSIBLE LIQUID WITH FREE SURFACE BOUNDARY.

HANS LINDBLAD

February 8, 2008

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider Euler's equations

$$(1.1) \quad \rho(\partial_t + V^k \partial_k) v_j = -\partial_j p, \quad j = 1, \dots, n \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}, \quad \text{where } \partial_i = \partial/\partial x^i,$$

describing the motion of a perfect compressible fluid body in vacuum:

$$(1.2) \quad (\partial_t + V^k \partial_k) \rho + \rho \operatorname{div} V = 0, \quad \operatorname{div} V = \partial_k V^k \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D},$$

where $V^k = \delta^{ki} v_i = v_k$ and we use the summation convention over repeated upper and lower indices. Here the velocity $V = (V^1, \dots, V^n)$, the density ρ and the domain $\mathcal{D} = \cup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \{t\} \times \mathcal{D}_t$, $\mathcal{D}_t \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are to be determined. The pressure $p = p(\rho)$ is assumed to be a given strictly increasing smooth function of the density. The boundary $\partial \mathcal{D}_t$ moves with the velocity of the fluid particles at the boundary. The fluid body moves in vacuum so the pressure vanishes in the exterior and hence on the boundary. We therefore also require the boundary conditions on $\partial \mathcal{D} = \cup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \{t\} \times \partial \mathcal{D}_t$:

$$(1.3) \quad (\partial_t + V^k \partial_k)|_{\partial \mathcal{D}} \in T(\partial \mathcal{D}),$$

$$(1.4) \quad p = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial \mathcal{D}.$$

Constant pressure on the boundary leads to energy conservation and it is needed for the linearized equations to be well posed. Since the pressure is assumed to be a strictly increasing function of the density we can alternatively think of the density as a function of the pressure and for physical reasons this function has to be non negative. Therefore the density has to be a non negative constant $\bar{\rho}_0$ on the boundary and we will in fact assume that $\bar{\rho}_0 > 0$, which is the case of liquid. We hence assume that

$$(1.5) \quad p(\bar{\rho}_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p'(\rho) > 0, \quad \text{for } \rho \geq \bar{\rho}_0, \quad \text{where } \bar{\rho}_0 > 0$$

From a physical point of view one can alternatively think of the pressure as a small positive constant on the boundary. By thinking of the density as function of the pressure the incompressible case can be thought of as the special case of constant density function.

The motion of the surface of the ocean is described by the above model. Free boundary problems for compressible fluids are also of fundamental importance in astrophysics since they describe stars. The model also describes the case of one fluid surrounded by and moving inside another fluid. For large

The author was supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

massive bodies like stars gravity helps holding it together and for smaller bodies like water drops surface tension helps holding it together. Here we neglect the influence of gravity which will just contribute with a lower order term and we neglect surface tension which has a regularizing effect.

Given a bounded domain $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, that is homeomorphic to the unit ball, and initial data V_0 and ρ_0 , we want to find a set $\mathcal{D} \subset [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n$, a vector field V and a function ρ , solving (1.1)-(1.4) and satisfying the initial conditions

$$(1.6) \quad \{x; (0, x) \in \mathcal{D}\} = \mathcal{D}_0,$$

$$(1.7) \quad V = V_0, \quad \rho = \rho_0 \quad \text{on} \quad \{0\} \times \mathcal{D}_0.$$

In order for the initial-boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.7) to be solvable initial data (1.7) has to satisfy certain compatibility conditions at the boundary. By (1.2), (1.4) also implies that $\operatorname{div} V|_{\partial \mathcal{D}} = 0$. We must therefore have $\rho_0|_{\partial \mathcal{D}_0} = \bar{\rho}_0$ and $\operatorname{div} V_0|_{\partial \mathcal{D}_0} = 0$. Furthermore, taking the divergence of (1.1) gives an equation for $(\partial_t + V^k \partial_k) \operatorname{div} V$ in terms of only space derivatives of V and ρ , which leads to further compatibility conditions. In general we say that initial data satisfy the compatibility condition of order m if there is a formal power series solution in t , of (1.1)-(1.7) $(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{V})$, satisfying

$$(1.8) \quad (\partial_t + \tilde{V}^k \partial_k)^j (\tilde{\rho} - \bar{\rho}_0)|_{\{0\} \times \partial \mathcal{D}_0} = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, m-1$$

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the exterior unit normal to the free surface $\partial \mathcal{D}_t$. Christodoulou[C2] conjectured the initial value problem (1.1)-(1.8), is well posed in Sobolev spaces under the assumption

$$(1.9) \quad \nabla_{\mathcal{N}} p \leq -c_0 < 0, \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \mathcal{D}, \quad \text{where} \quad \nabla_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{N}^i \partial_{x^i}.$$

Condition (1.9) is a natural physical condition. It says that the pressure and hence the density is larger in the interior than at the boundary. Since we have assumed that the pressure vanishes or is close to zero at the boundary this is therefore related to the fact that the pressure of a fluid has to be positive.

In general it is possible to prove local existence for analytic data for the free interface between two fluids. However, this type of problem might be subject to instability in Sobolev norms, in particular Rayleigh-Taylor instability, which occurs when a heavier fluid is on top of a lighter fluid. Condition (1.9) prevents Rayleigh-Taylor instability from occurring. Indeed, if this condition is violated Rayleigh-Taylor instability occurs in a linearized analysis.

In the irrotational incompressible case the physical condition (1.9) always hold, see [W1,2,CL], and [W1,2] proved local existence in Sobolev spaces in that case. [W1,2] studied the classical water wave problem describing the motion of the surface of the ocean and showed that the water wave is not unstable when it turns over. Ebin[E1] showed that the general incompressible problem is ill posed in Sobolev spaces when the pressure is negative in the interior and the physical condition is not satisfied. Ebin[E2] also announced a local existence result for the incompressible problem with surface tension on the boundary which has a regularizing effect so (1.9) is not needed then.

In [CL], together with Christodoulou, we proved *a priori* bounds in Sobolev spaces in the general incompressible case of non vanishing curl, assuming the physical condition (1.9) for the pressure. We also showed that the Sobolev norms remain bounded as long as the physical condition hold and the second fundamental form of the free surface and the first order derivatives of the velocity are bounded. Usually, existence follows from similar bounds for some iteration scheme, but the bounds in [CL] used all the symmetries of the equation and so only hold for modifications that preserve all the symmetries. In [L1] we showed existence for the linearized equations and in [L2] we proved local existence for the nonlinear incompressible problem with non vanishing curl, assuming that (1.9) holds initially.

For the corresponding compressible free boundary problem with non-vanishing density on the boundary, there are however in general no previous existence or well-posedness results. Relativistic versions of these problems have been studied in [C1,DN,F,FN,R] but solved only in special cases. The methods used for the irrotational incompressible case use that the components of the velocity are harmonic to reduce the equations to equations on the boundary and this does not work in the compressible case since the divergence is non vanishing and the pressure satisfies a wave equation in the interior. To be able to deal with the compressible case one therefore needs to use interior estimates as in [CL,L1]. Let us also point out that in nature one expects fluids to be compressible, e.g. water satisfies (1.5), see [CF]. For the general relativistic equations there is no special case corresponding to the incompressible case.

Here we show existence for the linearized equations and estimates for these in Sobolev spaces in the general compressible case (1.1)-(1.7), assuming that (1.8) and (1.9) hold. This can be considered as a linearized stability result, showing that small perturbations of initial conditions in Sobolev spaces leads to small perturbations for finite times. Furthermore, in a forthcoming paper [L3] we use existence and estimates for the inverse of the linearized operator to prove existence for the nonlinear problem using the Nash-Moser technique also in the compressible case. The existence proof here uses the orthogonal decomposition of a vector field into a divergence free part and a gradient of a function that vanishes on the boundary. For the divergence free part we get an equation of the type studied in [L1] and for the divergence we get a wave equation on a bounded domain with Dirichlet boundary conditions. The interaction terms between these equations are lower order so if we put up an iteration, the equations decouple for the the new iterate and the previous iterates only enter in the lower order terms.

Existence of solutions for the wave equation on a bounded domain is well known. However dealing with the divergence free part of the equation requires the techniques developed in [L1]. Here we use a generalization of the existence theorem in [L1] to the case when the divergence of the solution we linearize around is non vanishing. In [L1] we showed that the linearized incompressible Euler's equations becomes an evolution equation for what we called the normal operator. The normal operator is unbounded and not elliptic in the case of non vanishing curl. It is however positive assuming the physical condition (1.9) and this leads to existence. Up to lower order terms, the projection of the linearized compressible Euler's equations onto divergence free vector fields becomes the linearized incompressible Euler's equations.

As pointed out above, the positivity of the pressure (1.9) leads to the positivity of the normal operator, introduced in [L1]. It appears that this condition is needed for the well-posedness also in the compressible case since the divergence free part essentially decouples from the divergence. In fact, the compressible case was the main motivation for formulating (1.9) since in that case it is clear that the pressure has to be positive and in nature one expects fluids to be slightly compressible.

In order to formulate the linearized equations one has to parametrize the boundary. Let us therefore express Euler's equations in the Lagrangian coordinates given by following the flow lines of the velocity vector field of the fluid particles. In these coordinates the boundary becomes fixed. Given a domain $\mathcal{D}_0$ in $\mathbf{R}^n$, that is diffeomorphic to the unit ball Ω , we can by a theorem in [DM] find a diffeomorphism $f_0 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_0$ with prescribed volume form $\det(\partial f_0/\partial y)$ up to a constant factor. Let $\mathcal{D}$ and $v \in C(\mathcal{D})$ satisfy (1.3). The Lagrangian coordinates y are given by solving for the Eulerian coordinates $x = x(t, y) = f_t(y)$

$$(1.10) \quad \frac{dx(t, y)}{dt} = V(t, x(t, y)), \quad x(0, y) = f_0(y), \quad y \in \Omega.$$

Then $f_t : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_t$ is a diffeomorphism and the boundary becomes fixed in the new y coordinates. Let

$$(1.11) \quad D_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{x=const} + V^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{y=const} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} = \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a},$$

be the material derivative and partial differential operators expressed in the Lagrangian coordinates.

In these coordinates Euler's equation (1.1), the continuity equation (1.2) and the boundary condition (1.4) become:

$$(1.12) \quad D_t^2 x^i + \partial_i h = 0, \quad D_t \rho + \rho \operatorname{div} V = 0, \quad \rho|_{\partial\Omega} = \bar{\rho}_0,$$

where the enthalpy $h = h(\rho) = \int_{\bar{\rho}_0}^{\rho} p'(\rho) \rho^{-1} d\rho$ is a strictly increasing function of ρ , and $x, V = D_t x$ and ρ are functions of $(t, y) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$. Furthermore, ρ can be determined from x :

$$(1.13) \quad \rho = k\kappa^{-1}, \quad \text{where} \quad \kappa = \det(\partial x / \partial y) \quad \text{and} \quad k = \rho\kappa|_{t=0},$$

since $D_t \kappa = \kappa \operatorname{div} V$. In (1.10) there is a choice of mapping f_0 and domain Ω . By [DM] one can find a diffeomorphism with prescribed volume form up to a constant between any two diffeomorphic sets. We therefore choose Ω to be the unit ball and $\det(\partial f_0 / \partial y)$ so $\det(\partial f_0 / \partial y) \rho_0 = k$ is any given fixed function $k(y)$ that we take to be constant. Making this choice, initial data for ρ is part of the initial data for x .

The free boundary problem for Euler's equations (1.1)-(1.7), hence become an equation for $x(t, y)$:

$$(1.14) \quad D_t^2 x^i + \partial_i h = 0, \quad \rho = k\kappa^{-1} \quad \text{in} \quad [0, T] \times \Omega, \quad \kappa|_{\partial\Omega} = 1, \quad \text{where} \quad \partial_i = \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a},$$

$h = h(\rho)$ is a strictly increasing function of ρ and $\rho = \rho(\kappa)$ is a function of $\kappa = \det(\partial x / \partial y)$. Initial data are

$$(1.15) \quad x|_{t=0} = f_0, \quad D_t x|_{t=0} = V_0$$

In order for (1.14) to be solvable, initial data has to satisfy the constraints; $\det(\partial f_0 / \partial y)|_{\partial\Omega} = 1$, $\operatorname{div} V_0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and taking the divergence of (1.14) gives an equation for $D_t \operatorname{div} V$ in terms of space derivative of x and $V = D_t x$ which leads to further conditions. Since (1.14) gives $D_t^2 x$ in terms of space derivatives of x we can obtain a formal power series solution in time $t, \tilde{x}$, to the first two equations in (1.14) satisfying the initial conditions (1.15). The compatibility condition of order m is the requirement that the formal power series solution up to terms of order m satisfy the boundary condition in (1.14):

$$(1.16) \quad D_t^j (\det(\partial \tilde{x} / \partial y) - 1)|_{0 \times \partial\Omega} = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, m-1$$

Let us now derive the linearized equations. (1.14) can be thought of as an equation $\Phi(x) = 0$, where Φ is a functional of $x(t, y)$ given by $\Phi(x)_i = D_t^2 x^i + \partial_i h$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, where h is a given function of $\kappa = \det(\partial x / \partial y)$ and ∂_i are the differential operators in (1.14) with coefficients depending on derivatives of x as well, and $\Phi(x)_{n+1} = (\kappa - 1)|_{\partial\Omega}$. We assume that $x(t, y)$ is a given smooth solution of (1.14), i.e. $\Phi(x) = 0$. Let $\bar{x}(t, y, r)$ be a smooth function also of a parameter r , such that $\bar{x}|_{r=0} = x$ and set $\delta x = \partial \bar{x} / \partial r|_{r=0}$. Then the linearized equations are the requirement on δx , that $\bar{x}$ satisfies the equations (1.14) up to terms bounded by r^2 as $r \rightarrow 0$, i.e. $\Phi'(x)(\delta x) = \partial \Phi(\bar{x}) / \partial r|_{r=0} = 0$. If we replace x in (1.14) by $\bar{x}$ and apply $\delta = \partial / \partial r|_{r=0}$ we hence obtain the linearized equations:

$$(1.17) \quad D_t^2 \delta x^i + (\partial_i \partial_k h) \delta x^k - \partial_i ((\partial_k h) \delta x^k - \delta h) = 0, \quad \delta h = -h'(\rho) \rho \operatorname{div} \delta x, \quad \operatorname{div} \delta x|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Here we used that $[\delta, \partial_i] = -(\partial_i \delta x^k) \partial_k$ and $\delta \rho = -\rho \kappa^{-1} \delta \kappa = -\rho \operatorname{div} \delta x$, see section 2 and [L1]. The initial data for the linearized equations are

$$(1.18) \quad \delta x|_{t=0} = \delta f_0, \quad D_t \delta x|_{t=0} = \delta V_0.$$

The initial data are as before subject to constraints. Let $\delta \tilde{x}$ be the formal power series solution in time t to (1.17)-(1.18). The compatibility condition of order m is

$$(1.19) \quad D_t^j \operatorname{div} \delta \tilde{x} = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, m-1.$$

The main difference between (1.17) and (1.14) is the higher order term $\partial_i ((\partial_k h) \delta x^k)$, since the term $\partial_i \delta h$, depending on $\operatorname{div} \delta x$, in (1.17) corresponds to the term $\partial_i h$, depending on $\det(\partial x / \partial y)$ in (1.14). If we take $\bar{x}$ above to be a family of solutions of (1.14) depending on the parameter r , then our estimates below show that a small change of initial conditions only give rise to a small change of the solution in Sobolev spaces. Our main result is the following linearized stability result:

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be the unit ball in $\mathbf{R}^n$ and suppose that x is a smooth solution of (1.14) satisfying (1.9) for $0 \leq t \leq T$. Suppose that $(\delta f_0, \delta V_0)$ are smooth satisfying the compatibility conditions of all orders m , i.e. (1.19) holds for all m . Then the linearized equations (1.17) have a smooth solution δx for $0 \leq t \leq T$ satisfying the initial conditions (1.18). Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the exterior unit normal to $\partial\mathcal{D}_t$ parametrized by $x(t, y)$ and let $\delta x_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{N} \cdot \delta x$ be the normal component of δx . Set*

$$(1.20) \quad E_r(t) = \|D_t \delta x(t, \cdot)\|_{H^r(\Omega)} + \|\delta x(t, \cdot)\|_{H^r(\Omega)} + \|\operatorname{div} \delta x(t, \cdot)\|_{H^r(\Omega)} + \|\delta x_{\mathcal{N}}(t, \cdot)\|_{H^r(\partial\Omega)}$$

where $H^r(\Omega)$ and $H^r(\partial\Omega)$ are the Sobolev spaces in Ω respectively on $\partial\Omega$. Then there are constants C_r depending only on x , r and T such that

$$(1.21) \quad E_r(t) \leq C_r E_r(0), \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad r \geq 0.$$

Furthermore, let $\tilde{N}^r(\Omega)$ be the completion of $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ in the norm $\|\delta x\|_{H^r(\Omega)} + \|\operatorname{div} \delta x(t, \cdot)\|_{H^r(\Omega)} + \|\delta x_{\mathcal{N}}\|_{H^r(\partial\Omega)}$. Then if the constraints in (1.19) hold for all orders m and

$$(1.22) \quad (\delta f_0, \delta V_0) \in \tilde{N}^r(\Omega) \times H^r(\Omega)$$

it follows that (1.17)-(1.18) has a solution

$$(1.23) \quad (\delta x, D_t \delta x) \in C([0, T], \tilde{N}^r(\Omega) \times H^r(\Omega)).$$

As we have argued, any smooth solution of (1.1)-(1.7) with $\mathcal{D}_0$ diffeomorphic to the unit ball can be reduced to a smooth solution of (1.14) where Ω is the unit ball. That there are initial data (1.18) such that (1.19) hold for all m follows by taking δf_0 and δV_0 compactly supported in the interior of Ω . The term $\|\delta x_{\mathcal{N}}\|_{H^r(\partial\Omega)}$ is equivalent to the variation of the second fundamental form $\theta = \overline{\partial}\mathcal{N}$ of the free boundary $\partial\mathcal{D}_t$ measured in $H^{r-2}(\partial\Omega)$. For a general component we can only say that $\delta x \in H^{r-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$. The energy estimate (1.21) also hold in the incompressible case when $\operatorname{div} \delta x = 0$, see [L1], and in [CL] we obtained similar bounds for $\|v\|_{H^r(\Omega)} + \|\theta\|_{H^{r-2}(\partial\Omega)}$ in the nonlinear incompressible case.

Let us now outline the main ideas in the proof. We will rewrite the linearized equations (1.17) in a geometrically invariant way and use this to obtain energy bounds and existence. We have defined our vector fields as functions of the Lagrangian coordinates $(t, y) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$ but we can also think of them as functions of the Eulerian coordinates $(t, x) \in \mathcal{D}$, and we will make this identification without saying that we compose with the inverse of the change of coordinate $y \rightarrow x(t, y)$. The time derivative has a simple expression in the Lagrangian coordinates but the space derivatives have a simpler expression in the Eulerian coordinates, see (1.11). For the most part we will think of our functions and vector fields in the Lagrangian frame but we use the inner product coming from the Eulerian frame, i.e. in the Lagrangian frame we use the pull-back metric of the Euclidean inner product:

$$(1.24) \quad X \cdot Z = \delta_{ij} X^i Z^j = g_{ab} X^a Z^b, \quad \text{where} \quad X^a = X^i \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^i}, \quad g_{ab} = \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^a} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^b}.$$

Here X^i refers to the components of the vector X in the Eulerian frame, X^a refers to the components in the Lagrangian frame, g_{ab} is the metric in the Lagrangian frame and δ_{ij} is the Euclidean metric. The letters a, b, c, d, e, f, g will refer to indices in the Lagrangian frame whereas i, j, k, l, m, n will refer to the Eulerian frame. The norms and most of the operators we consider have an invariant interpretation so

it does not matter in which frame they are expressed. In the introduction we express the vector fields in the Eulerian frame but later we express them in the Lagrangian frame. The L^2 inner product is

$$(1.25) \quad \langle X, Z \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{D}_t} X \cdot Z \, dx = \int_{\Omega} X \cdot Z \, \kappa \, dy = \int_{\Omega} X \cdot Z \, \rho^{-1} k(y) \, dy,$$

where $\kappa = \det(\partial x / \partial y)$ and $k = \kappa \rho|_{t=0}$.

Let us first point out that the boundary condition $\rho|_{\partial\Omega} = \bar{\rho}_0$ leads to that the energy is conserved for a solution of Euler's equations (1.12). Let $Q(\rho) = \int_{\bar{\rho}_0}^{\rho} 2q(\rho) \rho^{-2} \, d\rho$, where $q(\rho) = p(\rho) - p(\bar{\rho}_0)$. Since $D_t(\rho\kappa) = 0$ and $\rho D_t v_i = -\partial_i p(\rho) = -\partial_i q(\rho)$ it follows from the (1.25) and the divergence theorem that

$$(1.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathcal{D}_t} (|V|^2 + Q(\rho)) \rho \, dx &= \int_{\mathcal{D}_t} D_t(|V|^2 + Q(\rho)) \rho \, dx = \int_{\mathcal{D}_t} (-2V^i \partial_i q(\rho) + 2q(\rho) \rho^{-1} D_t \rho) \, dx \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathcal{D}_t} (\operatorname{div} V \, q(\rho) + q(\rho) \rho^{-1} D_t \rho) \, dx - 2 \int_{\partial\mathcal{D}_t} V_N q(\rho) \, dS = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $V_N = \mathcal{N}_i V^i$ is the normal component and we also used (1.12).

We will obtain similar energy estimates for the linearized equations (1.17) for energies containing an additional boundary term. We will first rewrite the linearized equations in a geometrically invariant way. The last term in the first equation in (1.17) is a positive symmetric operator in the energy inner product on vector fields satisfying the boundary condition $\operatorname{div} X|_{\partial\mathcal{D}_t} = 0$:

$$(1.27) \quad CX = -\nabla(h'(\rho)(\rho \operatorname{div} X + (\partial_k \rho) X^k)) = -\nabla(h'(\rho) \operatorname{div}(\rho X)), \quad \text{where } \nabla^i = \delta^{ij} \partial_j$$

i.e. $\langle X, \rho CX \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle Z, \rho CX \rangle = \langle \rho CZ, X \rangle$ if $\operatorname{div} X|_{\partial\mathcal{D}_t} = \operatorname{div} Z|_{\partial\mathcal{D}_t} = 0$. In fact, if $\operatorname{div} X|_{\partial\mathcal{D}_t} = 0$ then $h'(\rho) \operatorname{div}(\rho X)|_{\partial\mathcal{D}_t} = X^k \partial_k h|_{\partial\mathcal{D}_t} = X_N \rho^{-1} \nabla_N p|_{\partial\mathcal{D}_t}$ and integrating by parts we get

$$(1.28) \quad \langle Z, \rho CX \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{D}_t} \operatorname{div}(\rho Z) \operatorname{div}(\rho X) h'(\rho) \, dx + \int_{\partial\mathcal{D}_t} Z_N X_N (-\nabla_N p) \, dS$$

which proves the symmetry and the positivity follows since $h'(\rho) \geq c_1 > 0$ and $\nabla_N p \leq -c_0 < 0$.

We will also replace the time derivative by a time derivative that preserves the boundary condition. Let

$$(1.29) \quad \mathcal{L}_{D_t} X^i = D_t X^i - (\partial_k V^i) X^k = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^a} D_t \left(\frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^k} X^k \right),$$

be the space time Lie derivative with respect to $D_t = (1, V)$ restricted to the space components or equivalently, the time derivative of the vector field expressed in the Lagrangian frame. Let

$$(1.30) \quad \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{D_t} X^i = \mathcal{L}_{D_t} X^i + \operatorname{div} V \, X^i = \kappa^{-1} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^a} D_t \left(\kappa \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^k} X^k \right)$$

be the modified Lie derivative that preserves the boundary condition, $\operatorname{div} X|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. In fact

$$(1.31) \quad \operatorname{div} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{D_t} X = \hat{D}_t \operatorname{div} X, \quad \text{where } \hat{D}_t = D_t + \operatorname{div} V.$$

The linearized equations (1.17) can now be written as an evolution equation for the operator C :

$$(1.32) \quad \ddot{X} + CX = B(X, \dot{X}), \quad \text{div } X|_{t=0} = 0,$$

where $X = \delta x$, $\dot{X} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{D_t} X$, $\ddot{X} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{D_t}^2 X$ and B is a linear form with coefficients depending on x and ρ . Associated with (1.32) is the energy

$$(1.33) \quad E(t) = \langle \dot{X}, \rho \dot{X} \rangle + \langle X, \rho (C + I) X \rangle,$$

and we prove that $E' \leq CE$ which gives the bound (1.21) for $r = 0$. The boundary term in (1.20) comes from (1.28). To obtain estimates for higher order derivatives one can apply modified Lie derivatives with respect to tangential vector fields as in [L1]. This does however not prove existence for (1.32) which is non-standard since C is non-elliptic, time dependent and the boundary condition is non-trivial.

We use the orthogonal projection onto divergence free vector fields in the inner product (1.25) to obtain an equation for the divergence and an equation for the divergence free part. The equations decouples to highest order, and existence and estimates for the system follows from existence and estimates for each equation with an inhomogeneous term. The orthogonal projection is

$$(1.34) \quad PX = X - \nabla q, \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta q = \text{div } X, \quad q|_{\Omega} = 0.$$

We will obtain a system of equations for $X_0 = PX$ and $X_1 = (I - P)X$ by projecting the linearized equation (1.32) onto divergence free vector fields respectively the orthogonal complement. Taking the divergence of (1.32) gives a wave equation for $\text{div } X$ with Dirichlet boundary condition:

$$(1.35) \quad \hat{D}_t^2 \text{div } X_1 - \Delta(h'(\rho)\rho \text{div } X_1) = \Delta(X^k \partial_k h) + \text{div } B(X, \dot{X}), \quad \text{div } X_1|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

for which existence is known if $h'(\rho)\rho$ and the metric g_{ab} , hidden in $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i^2 = \kappa^{-1} \partial_a \kappa g^{ab} \partial_b$, are bounded from above and below and the right hand side is thought of as a known function, see section 6. X_1 is then determined from $\text{div } X_1$ by solving the Dirichlet problem:

$$(1.36) \quad X_1 = \nabla q_1, \quad \Delta q_1 = \text{div } X_1, \quad q_1|_{\Omega} = 0.$$

To obtain an equation for the divergence free part X_0 we project (1.32) onto divergence free vector fields. It follows from (1.27) that

$$(1.37) \quad AX = PCX = P(-\nabla(X^k \partial_k h)),$$

since $\text{div } X|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and the projection of a gradient of a function that vanishes on the boundary vanishes. The operator A is a positive symmetric operator on divergence free vector fields, if condition (1.9) holds:

$$(1.38) \quad \langle X, AZ \rangle = - \int_{\mathcal{D}_t} X^i \partial_i (Z^k \partial_k h) dx = \int_{\partial\mathcal{D}_t} X_N Z_N (-\nabla_N h) dS, \quad \text{if} \quad \text{div } X = \text{div } Z = 0.$$

Furthermore, we note that the commutator of time derivatives with the projection is lower order

$$(1.39) \quad [\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{D_t}, P]X^i = -P((\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{D_t} \delta^{ij}) \delta_{jk} (I - P)X^k)$$

which follows since $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{D_t}$ preserves the divergence free condition and the projection of $\delta^{ij}\partial_j D_t q$ vanishes if $q|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and hence $D_t q|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. Hence $P\ddot{X}_1 = PB_2(X_1, \dot{X}_1)$ can be determined in terms of X_1 and $\dot{X}_1$. Projection of (1.32) therefore gives an evolution equation for the operator A for the divergence free part:

$$(1.40) \quad \ddot{X}_0 + AX_0 = -PB_2(X_1, \dot{X}_1) - AX_1 + PB(X, \dot{X})$$

Existence for (1.40) with the right hand side thought of as a known function is a generalization of the existence proof in [L1]. For the divergence part we have an equation which is equivalent to (1.35)-(1.36):

$$(1.41) \quad \ddot{X}_1 - \nabla(h'(\rho)\rho \operatorname{div} X_1) - PB_2(X_1, \dot{X}_1) = (I-P)\nabla((\partial_k h)X^k) + (I-P)B(X, \dot{X}), \quad \operatorname{div} X_1|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

We will show existence for the system (1.40)-(1.41) for (X_0, X_1) and from that we obtain a solution $X = X_0 + X_1$ to (1.32), since these equations are exactly the projection of (1.32) onto the divergence free vector fields respectively the orthogonal component. The system (1.40)-(1.41) can be solved by iteration. If X is an iterate, then from (1.35)-(1.36) and (1.40) we get X_1 and X_0 and a new iterate is $X_0 + X_1$. There is no loss of regularity in this procedure since $\operatorname{div} X$ has the same space regularity as X .

However, in order for it to be possible to solve (1.35) the initial conditions and the equation must be compatible with a formal power series solution satisfying the boundary conditions, and we must make sure that this is true at each step of the iteration. (1.32) gives $\ddot{X}$ in terms of only space derivatives of X and $\dot{X}$ and we hence obtain a formal power series solution in time, the first two terms coming from the initial conditions. Our assumption is that this formal power series solution satisfies the boundary condition. From this formal power series one can construct an approximate solution $\tilde{X}$ satisfying the initial conditions, the equation to all orders as $t \rightarrow 0$, and the boundary condition. We can then take the approximate solution as our first iterate or equivalently subtract off the approximate solution from X , which produces an inhomogeneous term vanishing to all orders as $t \rightarrow 0$ and vanishing initial conditions.

Let, us now conclude the introduction by giving the main estimates we use. Since the time derivative preserves the boundary condition it is natural to use norms which also contain time derivatives up to full order in the proof, and the estimate in Theorem 1.1 afterwards follow from these. Let

$$(1.42) \quad \|X(t)\|_{H^r} = \|X(t, \cdot)\|_{H^r(\Omega)}, \quad \|X(t)\|_r = \sum_{s+k \leq r} \|D_t^k X(t)\|_{H^s}, \quad \langle X_0(t) \rangle_r = \|X_{0N}(t, \cdot)\|_{H^r(\partial\Omega)}$$

where $X_{0N} = X_0 \cdot N$ is the normal component. For the divergence free equation:

$$(1.43) \quad \ddot{X}_0 + AX_0 = F_0, \quad PF_0 = F_0$$

we have the estimate

$$(1.44) \quad \|\dot{X}_0(t)\|_r + \|X_0(t)\|_r + \langle X_0(t) \rangle_r \leq C \left(\|\dot{X}_0(0)\|_r + \|X_0(0)\|_r + \langle X_0(0) \rangle_r + \int_0^t \|F_0\|_r d\tau \right).$$

This is a generalization of the estimate for the incompressible case in [L1]. For $r = 0$, one uses the symmetry and positivity (1.38) of A to prove that $E = \langle \dot{X}_0, \dot{X}_0 \rangle + \langle X_0, (A + I)X_0 \rangle$ satisfies $E' \leq CE$. Note that the boundary term comes from using (1.38). For $r > 0$, it follows from commuting modified Lie derivatives with respect to tangential vector fields through the equation to obtain similar equations and estimates for these, together with better estimates for the curl since the curl of A vanishes. For

$$(1.45) \quad \ddot{X}_1 - \nabla(h'(\rho)\rho \operatorname{div} X_1) - PB_2(X_1, \dot{X}_1) = F_1, \quad (I - P)F_1 = F_1, \quad \operatorname{div} X_1|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

we have the estimate

$$(1.46) \quad \|X_1(t)\|_{r+1} \leq C \left(\|X_1(0)\|_{r+1} + \int_0^t \|\dot{F}_1\|_{r-1} d\tau \right).$$

The last estimate follows from estimating the wave equation (1.35) with the right hand side replaced by $\operatorname{div} F_1$ and inverting the Laplacian (1.36). In (1.46) we do not need space derivatives up to highest order of F_1 , since one obtains space derivatives from time derivatives through inverting the Laplacian in the wave equation. Using that the right hand side of (1.41) is a gradient (1.46) also holds for $r=0$.

With F_0 equal to the right hand side of (1.40) and F_1 equal to the right hand side of (1.41) the norms in the integrals in (1.44) and (1.46) can be estimated by the sum of the norms in the left of (1.44) and (1.46) and this gives *a priori* bounds as well as uniform estimates for iterates, if we let the F_0 and F_1 be obtained from the previous iterate and solve (1.43) and (1.45) for the new iterate. Once we obtained the solution to the system (1.40)-(1.41), $X = X_0 + X_1$ is the solution to (1.32). The norm in (1.20) is bounded by the sum of the norms in the left of (1.44) and (1.46). Using (1.32) one can bound time derivatives in terms of space derivatives and using that the projection is continuous in the norms (1.42) it follows that the norms in the left of (1.44) and (1.46) can be bounded by (1.20).

2. LAGRANGIAN COORDINATES AND THE LINEARIZED EQUATION.

Let us introduce Lagrangian coordinates in which the boundary becomes fixed. Let Ω be a domain in $\mathbf{R}^n$ and let $f_0 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_0$ be a diffeomorphism. We assumed that $\mathcal{D}_0$ is diffeomorphic to the unit ball and that $v(t, x)$, $p(t, x)$, $(t, x) \in \mathcal{D}$ are given satisfying the boundary conditions (1.3)-(1.4). The Lagrangian coordinates y are given by solving for the Eulerian coordinates $x = x(t, y) = f_t(y)$ in

$$(2.1) \quad dx/dt = V(t, x(t, y)), \quad x(0, y) = f_0(y), \quad y \in \Omega$$

Then $f_t : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_t$ is a diffeomorphism, and the boundary becomes fixed in the new y coordinates. Let us introduce the notation

$$(2.2) \quad D_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{y=\text{constant}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{x=\text{constant}} + V^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k},$$

for the material derivative and

$$(2.3) \quad \partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} = \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a}.$$

for the partial derivatives.

In these coordinates Euler's equation (1.1) become

$$(2.4) \quad \rho D_t^2 x_i + \partial_i p = 0, \quad (t, y) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$$

and the continuity equation (1.2) become

$$(2.5) \quad D_t \rho + \rho \operatorname{div} V = 0, \quad (t, y) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$$

Here the pressure $p = p(\rho)$ is assumed to be a given smooth strictly increasing function of the density ρ . Let $\bar{\rho}_0$ be defined by $p(\bar{\rho}_0) = 0$. Let h , the enthalpy, be defined by

$$(2.6) \quad h(\rho) = \int_{\bar{\rho}_0}^{\rho} p'(\rho) \rho^{-1} d\rho.$$

Then (2.4) becomes

$$(2.7) \quad D_t^2 x_i + \partial_i h = 0, \quad (t, y) \in [0, T] \times \Omega.$$

The density ρ satisfies (2.5) but since

$$(2.8) \quad \kappa = \det(\partial x / \partial y),$$

satisfies

$$(2.9) \quad D_t \kappa - \kappa \operatorname{div} V = 0$$

it follows that $\rho = \rho_0 \kappa_0 / \kappa$, where ρ_0 and κ_0 are the initial values. By a theorem of [DM] one can arbitrarily prescribe the volume form κ_0 up to a constant so we take $\kappa_0 = k / \rho_0$, where k is a constant, and Ω to be the unit ball, by composing with a diffeomorphism, since we assumed that $\mathcal{D}_0$ is diffeomorphic to a unit ball. Hence ρ is determined from x :

$$(2.10) \quad \rho = k / \kappa$$

By choosing the constant k appropriately the boundary condition (1.4) can hence be expressed

$$(2.11) \quad \kappa|_{\partial\Omega} = 1$$

Since h is a function of ρ which in turn by our choice (2.10) is a function of $\kappa = \det(\partial x / \partial y)$ we can think of h as a function of κ . (2.7) is then an equation involving the coordinate x only and initial data for ρ is included in the choice of initial mapping f_0 . Initial data for (2.7) are

$$(2.12) \quad x|_{t=0} = f_0, \quad D_t x|_{t=0} = V_0$$

In order for (2.7) to have a smooth solution satisfying (2.11), initial data has to satisfy the constraints $\det(\partial f_0 / \partial y)|_{\partial\Omega} = 1$ and $\operatorname{div} V_0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, by (2.9). Taking the divergence of (2.7) gives

$$(2.13) \quad D_t \operatorname{div} V + (\partial_i V^k) \partial_k V^i + \Delta h = 0$$

which leads to further conditions. Since (2.7) gives $D_t^2 x$ in terms of space derivatives of x we can obtain a formal power series solution in time t , $\tilde{x}$, to (2.7) satisfying the initial conditions (2.12). The compatibility condition of order m is the requirement that the formal power series solution up to terms of order m satisfy the boundary condition in (2.11):

$$(2.14) \quad D_t^j (\det(\partial \tilde{x} / \partial y) - 1)|_{0 \times \partial\Omega} = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, m-1$$

At this point we also remark that we get a wave equation for h . Since h is a strictly increasing function of ρ we can think of $\rho = \rho(h)$ as function of h . Hence with $e(h) = \ln \rho(h)$ (2.5) instead become

$$(2.15) \quad D_t e(h) + \operatorname{div} V = 0.$$

and this together with (2.13) gives a wave equation for h with Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$(2.16) \quad D_t^2 e(h) - \Delta h - (\partial_i V^k) \partial_k V^i = 0, \quad h|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Here

$$(2.17) \quad \Delta h = \sum_i \partial_i^2 h = \kappa^{-1} \partial_a (\kappa g^{ab} \partial_b h), \quad \text{where} \quad g_{ab} = \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^a} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^b},$$

is the metric in the Lagrangian coordinates and g^{ab} is its inverse. Here $\partial_a = \partial/\partial y^a$ and we use the convention that differentiation with respect to the Eulerian coordinates is denoted by letters i, j, k, l, m, n and with respect to the Lagrangian coordinates is denoted by a, b, c, d, e, f . In order for (2.16) to be solvable we must have that

$$(2.18) \quad 0 < e' + 1/e' \leq c_1 \quad \sum_{a,b=1}^n (|g^{ab}| + |g_{ab}|) \leq n c_1^2, \quad |\partial x/\partial y|^2 + |\partial y/\partial x|^2 \leq c_1^2$$

for some constant $0 < c_1 < \infty$. The first condition is related to that the pressure is assumed to be a strictly increasing smooth function of the density. The second and third condition are equivalent and says that the coordinate mapping is a diffeomorphism. Furthermore, it is well-known that one needs compatibility conditions to solve (2.16).

Let us now derive the linearized equations. The calculations that follows below are similar to those in [L1] since the equation (2.7) mathematically is the same as the equation for the incompressible case with the enthalpy h replaced by the pressure p . We therefore refer the reader to [L1] for more details.

We now assume that we have a smooth solution $x = x(t, y)$ of (2.7) satisfying (1.9) for $0 \leq t \leq T$ and we will derive the linearized equations at this solution. Assume that $\bar{x} = \bar{x}(t, y, r)$ is a smooth function also of the extra parameter r such that $\bar{x}|_{r=0} = x$ and set $\delta x = \partial \bar{x} / \partial r|_{r=0}$. Then the linearized equations are the requirements on δx that $\bar{x}$ satisfies (2.7) and (2.10)-(2.11) up to terms bounded by r^2 as $r \rightarrow 0$. Let δ be a variation in the Lagrangian coordinates, i.e. a derivative

$$(2.19) \quad \delta f = \partial f / \partial r|_{r=0}.$$

with respect to the parameter r when t and y are fixed. Then $[\delta, D_t] = 0$,

$$(2.20) \quad [\delta, \partial_i] = -(\partial_i \delta x^k) \partial_k,$$

so $[\delta - \delta x^k \partial_k, \partial_i] = 0$. Applying $\delta - \delta x^k \partial_k$ to (2.7) gives:

$$(2.21) \quad D_t^2 \delta x_i - (\partial_k D_t^2 x_i) \delta x^k - \partial_i (\delta x^k \partial_k h - \delta h) = 0$$

Since $h = h(\rho)$ where $\rho = k/\kappa$ and

$$(2.22) \quad \delta \kappa = \kappa \operatorname{div} \delta x.$$

it follows that

$$(2.23) \quad \delta h = -h'(\rho) \rho \operatorname{div} \delta x.$$

The variation of the boundary condition (2.11) become

$$(2.24) \quad \operatorname{div} \delta x|_{\partial \Omega} = 0.$$

The initial data for (2.21) with δh given by (2.23) are

$$(2.25) \quad \delta x = \delta f_0, \quad D_t \delta x = \delta V_0$$

In order for it to be possible to have a smooth solution of (2.21) and (2.23)-(2.24) initial data (2.25) must satisfy certain compatibility conditions. The initial data are subject to the constraints $\operatorname{div} \delta f_0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and $\operatorname{div} \delta V_0 = (\partial_i V^k) \partial_k \delta x^k$.

Taking the divergence of (2.21) using (2.23) and (2.24) gives a wave equation for $\operatorname{div} \delta x$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$(2.26) \quad D_t^2 \operatorname{div} \delta x - \delta x^i \partial_i D_t \operatorname{div} V - \Delta(\delta x^k \partial_k h - \delta h) + 2(\partial_i V^k) \partial_k (\delta V^i - \delta x^l \partial_l V^i) = 0,$$

which gives further conditions. Since (2.21) gives $D_t^2 \delta x$ in terms of only space derivatives of δx , this gives a formal power series solution in time t , which we call $\delta \tilde{x}$. The compatibility condition of order m is the requirement that the formal power series solution satisfies the boundary condition (2.24):

$$(2.27) \quad D_t^j \operatorname{div} \delta \tilde{x} = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, m-1.$$

The basic assumption in solving the system (2.21)-(2.25) is that one should assume that $\operatorname{div} \delta x$ has the same space regularity as δx .

Let us now express also the vector field in the Lagrangian frame. Let

$$(2.28) \quad W^a = \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^i} \delta x^i$$

Then,

$$(2.29) \quad D_t \delta x^i = D_t (W^b \partial x^i / \partial y^b) = (D_t W^b) \partial x^i / \partial y^b + W^b \partial V^i / \partial y^b = (D_t W^b) \partial x^i / \partial y^b + \delta x^k \partial_k V^i$$

and multiplying with the inverse $\partial y^a / \partial x^i$ gives

$$(2.30) \quad D_t W^a = \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^i} \mathcal{L}_{D_t} \delta x^i, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{D}_t W^a = \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^i} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{D_t} \delta x^i.$$

where the Lie derivative and modified Lie derivative are given by (1.29)-(1.30) and

$$(2.31) \quad \hat{D}_t W^a = D_t W^a + (\operatorname{div} V) W^a = \kappa^{-1} D_t (\kappa W^a).$$

Since the divergence is invariant

$$(2.32) \quad \operatorname{div} \delta x = \operatorname{div} W = \kappa^{-1} \partial_a (\kappa W^a)$$

it therefore follows that

$$(2.33) \quad \operatorname{div} \hat{D}_t W = \hat{D}_t \operatorname{div} W.$$

Differentiating (2.30) once more gives

$$(2.34) \quad D_t^2 \delta x^i - (\partial_k D_t V^i) \delta x^k = (D_t^2 W^b) \partial x^i / \partial y^b + 2(D_t W^b) \partial V^i / \partial y^b$$

It follows that

$$(2.35) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^a} (D_t^2 \delta x^i - (\partial_k D_t V^i) \delta x^k) &= \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^a} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^b} D_t^2 W^b + 2(D_t W^b) \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^b} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^a} \partial_i v_j \\ &= g_{ab} D_t^2 W^b + (D_t g_{ab} - \omega_{ab}) D_t W^b \end{aligned}$$

where g_{ab} is given by (2.17) and

$$(2.36) \quad D_t g_{ab} = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^a} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^b} (\partial_i v_j + \partial_j v_i), \quad \omega_{ab} = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^a} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^b} (\partial_i v_j - \partial_j v_i).$$

With $\partial_a = \partial/\partial y^a$ the linearized equation (2.21) and (2.23) become

$$(2.37) \quad g_{ab} D_t^2 W^b - \partial_a ((\partial_c h) W^c - \delta h) = -(D_t g_{ac} - \omega_{ac}) D_t W^c, \quad \delta h = -p' \operatorname{div} W$$

Let $\hat{D}_t$ be as in (2.31), i.e. $\hat{D}_t = (D_t + \dot{\sigma})$, where $\sigma = \ln \kappa$ and $\dot{\sigma} = D_t \sigma = \operatorname{div} V$. Then

$$(2.38) \quad D_t^2 = \hat{D}_t^2 - 2\dot{\sigma} \hat{D}_t + \dot{\sigma}^2 - \ddot{\sigma}, \quad D_t = \hat{D}_t - \dot{\sigma}, \quad \ddot{\sigma} = D_t^2 \sigma.$$

Hence, with $\dot{W} = \hat{D}_t W$ and $\ddot{W} = \hat{D}_t^2 W$, we can write (2.37) as $LW = 0$, where

$$(2.39) \quad LW = \ddot{W}^a - g^{ab} \partial_b ((\partial_c h) W^c - \delta h) - B^a(W, \dot{W}), \quad \delta h = -p' \operatorname{div} W$$

where

$$(2.40) \quad B^a(W, \dot{W}) = -g^{ab} (\dot{g}_{bc} - \omega_{bc}) (\dot{W}^c - \dot{\sigma} W^c) + 2\dot{\sigma} \dot{W}^a + (\ddot{\sigma} - \dot{\sigma}^2) W^a.$$

3. THE COMPATIBILITY CONDITIONS, STATEMENT OF THE THEOREM AND THE LOWEST ORDER ENERGY ESTIMATE.

We now consider the linearized operator

$$(3.1) \quad LW = \ddot{W} + CW - B(W, \dot{W})$$

where $\dot{W} = \hat{D}_t W$, $\ddot{W} = \hat{D}_t^2 W$, $\hat{D}_t = D_t + (\operatorname{div} V)$, B is the bounded operator given by (2.40) and

$$(3.2) \quad CW^a = -g^{ab} \partial_b ((\partial_c h) W^c + p' \operatorname{div} W).$$

We want to show existence and estimates for the linearized equations with an inhomogeneous term F ,

$$(3.3) \quad LW = F,$$

with initial data

$$(3.4) \quad W|_{t=0} = \tilde{W}_0, \quad \dot{W}|_{t=0} = \tilde{W}_1,$$

and boundary data

$$(3.5) \quad \operatorname{div} W|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

The reason for the inhomogeneous term F is that one can reduce to the case of vanishing initial data and an inhomogeneous term F that vanishes to all orders as $t \rightarrow 0$ and it is easier to first prove existence for this case. Differentiating (3.3) with respect to time we get

$$(3.6) \quad \hat{D}_t^{k+2}W = B_k(W, \cdot, \hat{D}_t^{k+1}W, \partial W, \dots, \partial \hat{D}_t^k W, \partial^2 W, \dots, \partial^2 \hat{D}_t^k W) + \hat{D}_t^k F,$$

for some function B_k . Let us therefore define functions of space only by

$$(3.7) \quad \tilde{W}_{k+2} = B_k(\tilde{W}_0, \dots, \tilde{W}_{k+1}, \partial \tilde{W}_0, \dots, \partial \tilde{W}_k, \partial^2 \tilde{W}_0, \dots, \partial^2 \tilde{W}_k)|_{t=0} + \hat{D}_t^k F|_{t=0}, \quad k \geq 0$$

In view of (3.5) it follows that $0 = \hat{D}_t^k \operatorname{div} W|_{\partial\Omega} = \operatorname{div} \hat{D}_t^k W|_{\partial\Omega}$ so we must have

$$(3.8) \quad \operatorname{div} \tilde{W}_k|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad k = 0, \dots, m$$

(3.8) is called the m^{th} order compatibility condition and in order for it to be possible for (3.3)-(3.5) to have a smooth solution these have to hold for all orders m .

We now define the approximate power series solution by

$$(3.9) \quad \tilde{W}(t, y) = \frac{\kappa(0, y)}{\kappa(t, y)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \chi(t/\varepsilon_k) \tilde{W}_k(y) t^k / k!.$$

Here χ is smooth $\chi(s) = 1$ for $|s| \leq 1/2$ and $\chi(s) = 0$ for $|s| \geq 1$. The sequence $\varepsilon_k > 0$ can be chosen so that the series converges in $C^m([0, T], H^m)$ for any m if take $(\|\tilde{W}_k\|_{H^k} + 1)\varepsilon_k \leq 1/2$. It follows that

$$(3.10) \quad \operatorname{div} \tilde{W}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

(3.9) multiplied with $\kappa(t, y)$ is a power series expansion of κW and it hence follows that (3.9) satisfies (3.3)-(3.5) to all orders as $t \rightarrow 0$:

$$(3.11) \quad D_t^k(L\tilde{W} - F)|_{t=0} = 0, \quad k = 0, \dots$$

It follows that we can reduce (3.3)-(3.5) to the case with vanishing initial data and an inhomogeneous term that vanishes to all orders as $t \rightarrow 0$, by replacing W by $W - \tilde{W}$ and F by $F - L\tilde{W}$ in (3.3).

Let us now introduce some notation:

Definition 3.1. Let

$$(3.12) \quad \|W(t)\|_{H^r} = \|W(t, \cdot)\|_{H^r(\Omega)}.$$

and

$$(3.13) \quad \|W(t)\|_r = \sum_{s+k \leq r} \|\hat{D}_t^k W(t)\|_{H^s}.$$

Let N be the exterior unit normal to $\partial\Omega$ in the metric g_{ab} , or equivalently, $N^a = \mathcal{N}^i \partial y^a / \partial x^i$. Set

$$(3.14) \quad \langle W(t) \rangle_r = \|W_N(t, \cdot)\|_{H^r(\partial\Omega)}.$$

where $W_N = W \cdot N$ is the normal component.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $p = p(\rho)$ is a strictly increasing smooth function of ρ . Suppose also that x is a smooth solution of (2.7), such that (1.9) hold for $0 \leq t \leq T$. Suppose that the inhomogeneous term F in (3.3) is smooth for $0 \leq t \leq T$. Suppose also that the initial conditions (3.4) are smooth and satisfy the m^{th} order compatibility conditions (3.8), for all $m = 0, 1, \dots$. Then the linearized equations (3.3)-(3.5) have a smooth solution for $0 \leq t \leq T$.*

Let

$$(3.14) \quad \tilde{E}_r(t) = \|\dot{W}(t)\|_r + \|W(t)\|_r + \langle W(t) \rangle_r + \|\operatorname{div} W(t)\|_r,$$

where $\dot{W} = \hat{D}_t W = D_t W + (\operatorname{div} V)W$. Then there is a constant C depending only on x , r and T such that for $0 \leq t \leq T$ we have

$$(3.15) \quad \tilde{E}_r(t) \leq C \left(\tilde{E}_r(0) + \int_0^t \|F\|_r d\tau \right).$$

Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 3.1 since the norm (3.14) is equivalent to

$$(3.16) \quad E_r(t) = \|\dot{W}(t)\|_{H^r} + \|W(t)\|_{H^r} + \langle W(t) \rangle_{H^r} + \|\operatorname{div} W(t)\|_{H^r},$$

if F vanishes. In fact, by (3.6) one can express time derivatives in terms of space derivatives of the same order or less and using induction it follows that

$$(3.17) \quad E_r \leq \tilde{E}_r \leq C_r (E_r + \|F\|_{r-1})$$

In this section we show the lowest order energy estimates for an equation of the form

$$(3.18) \quad \ddot{W} + CW = B(W, \dot{W}) + F$$

where $\dot{W} = \hat{D}_t W = \kappa^{-1} D_t(\kappa W)$, $\ddot{W} = \hat{D}_t^2 W$,

$$(3.19) \quad CW^a = -g^{ab} \partial_b (p'(\operatorname{div} W + (\partial_c e)W^c)) = -g^{ab} \partial_b (h' \operatorname{div}(\rho W)), \quad e(\rho) = \ln \rho, \quad p'(\rho) = h'(\rho)\rho$$

and B is any bounded linear operator. The energy is:

$$(3.20) \quad E = \langle \dot{W}, \rho \dot{W} \rangle + \langle W, \rho(C + I)W \rangle \\ = \int_{\Omega} g_{ab} \dot{W}^a \dot{W}^b + g_{ab} W^a W^b + p'(\operatorname{div}(\rho W)/\rho)^2 \rho \kappa dy + \int_{\partial\Omega} W_N^2 (-\nabla_N p) dS.$$

Now, for any symmetric operator B we have

$$(3.21) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \langle W, \rho B W \rangle = \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \kappa W^a \rho \underline{B} W_a dy = 2 \langle \dot{W}, B W \rangle + \langle W, \rho B' W \rangle,$$

where $\dot{W} = \kappa^{-1} D_t(\kappa W)$ and $\rho B'$ is the time derivative of the operator ρB considered as an operator from the vector fields to the one forms:

$$(3.22) \quad \rho B' W^a = g^{ab} (D_t(\rho \underline{B} W_b) - \rho \underline{B} \dot{W}_b), \quad \underline{B} W_b = g_{bc} B W^c,$$

Since $\langle W, \rho W \rangle = \langle W, \rho G W \rangle$, where $G = I$, it follows that

$$(3.23) \quad \dot{E} = 2\langle \dot{W}, \rho \ddot{W} + \rho(C + I)W \rangle + \langle \dot{W}, \rho G' \dot{W} \rangle + \langle W, \rho(C' + G')W \rangle.$$

where $\rho \underline{G}' W_a = D_t(\rho g_{ab} \kappa) W^b$ and $\underline{C}' W_a = D_t \underline{C} W_a - \underline{C} \dot{W}_a + \dot{e} \underline{C} W_a$, where $\dot{e} = \dot{\rho}/\rho$. Since $D_t(\rho \kappa) = 0$ and $D_t(\kappa \operatorname{div}(\rho W)) = \kappa \operatorname{div}(D_t(\rho W))$ we get

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} D_t \underline{C} W_a &= -\partial_a D_t((\rho \kappa)^{-1} p'(\rho) \kappa \operatorname{div}(\rho W)) \\ &= -\partial_a \left(p''(\rho) \dot{e} \operatorname{div}(\rho W) + p'(\rho) \rho^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\dot{\rho} W + \rho \dot{W}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(3.25) \quad \underline{C}' W_a = -\partial_a(p''(\rho) \dot{e} \operatorname{div}(\rho W)) - \partial_a(p'(\rho) \rho^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\dot{\rho} W)) - \dot{e} \partial_a(p'(\rho) \rho^{-1} \operatorname{div}(\rho W))$$

Since $\dot{\rho}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and $\dot{e} = \dot{\rho}/\rho$ it follows that

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle U, \rho C' W \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\dot{\rho} p'' \operatorname{div}(\rho U) \operatorname{div}(\rho W) + p'(\operatorname{div}(\rho U) \operatorname{div}(\dot{\rho} W) + \operatorname{div}(\dot{\rho} U) \operatorname{div}(\rho W)) \right) \rho^{-1} \kappa dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial\Omega} (-\nabla_N \dot{\rho}) U_N W_N dS. \end{aligned}$$

It therefore follows that $\dot{E} \leq C\sqrt{E}(\sqrt{E} + \|F\|)$ and hence with $E_0 = \sqrt{E}$ we have

$$(3.27) \quad E_0(t) \leq C \left(E_0(0) + \int_0^t \|F\| d\tau \right).$$

4. DECOMPOSITION OF THE LINEARIZED EQUATIONS INTO AN OPERATOR ON THE DIVERGENCE FREE VECTOR FIELDS AND AN OPERATOR ON THE ORTHOGONAL COMPLEMENT.

We will now make an orthogonal decomposition: $\mathcal{H} = L^2 = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$ into divergence free vector fields $\mathcal{H}_0$ and gradients of functions in $H_0^1(\Omega)$; $\mathcal{H}_1$. Let us therefore define the orthogonal projection P onto divergence free vector fields by

$$(4.1) \quad P U^a = U^a - g^{ab} \partial_b p_U, \quad \Delta p_U = \operatorname{div} U, \quad p_U|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

(Here $\Delta q = \kappa^{-1} \partial_a (\kappa g^{ab} \partial_b q)$.) P is the orthogonal projection in the inner product, see [L1],

$$(4.2) \quad \langle U, W \rangle = \int_{\Omega} g_{ab} U^a W^b \kappa dy.$$

Note also that, with

$$(4.3) \quad \|W(t)\|_{r,s} = \sum_{k=0}^s \|\hat{D}_t^k W(t, \cdot)\|_{H^r}$$

denoting the Sobolev norms for fixed time with space and time differentiation of order r and s , we have

$$(4.4) \quad \|PW\|_{r,s} \leq C \|W\|_{r,s}, \quad \|(I - P)W\|_{r,s} \leq C \|\operatorname{div} W\|_{r-1,s}$$

since its just a matter of solving the Dirichlet problem and commuting through time derivatives, [L1].

For a function f that vanishes on the boundary define $A_f W = -P(\nabla(W^c \partial_c f))$; i.e.

$$(4.5) \quad A_f W^a = -g^{ab} \partial_b ((\partial_c f) W^c - q), \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta((\partial_c f) W^c - q) = 0, \quad q|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

If U and W are divergence free then

$$(4.6) \quad \langle U, A_f W \rangle = \int_{\partial\Omega} n_a U^a (-\partial_c f) W^c dS$$

where n is the unit conormal. If $f|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ then $-\partial_c f|_{\partial\Omega} = (-\nabla_N f) n_c$. It follows that A_f is a symmetric operator on divergence free vector fields, and in particular the normal operator

$$(4.7) \quad A = A_h,$$

where h is the enthalpy, is positive, i.e. $\langle W, AW \rangle \geq 0$, since we assumed the physical condition that $-\nabla_N h \geq c_0 > 0$ on the boundary. The normal operator is order one, by (4.4)

$$(4.8) \quad \|AW\|_{r,s} \leq C \|W\|_{r+1,s}.$$

The normal operator has certain delicate commutator properties with vector fields and positivity properties which were essential for the existence proof in [L1]. The main difficulty being that it is not elliptic acting on vector fields with non vanishing curl. In order to prove existence one had to replace it by a sequence of bounded operator which uniformly had the same commutator and positivity properties.

We now make the decomposition

$$(4.9) \quad W = W_0 + W_1, \quad W_0 = PW \in \mathcal{H}_0, \quad W_1 = (I - P)W \in \mathcal{H}_1$$

We want to decompose the linearized operator

$$(4.10) \quad LW = \ddot{W} + CW - B(W, \dot{W}), \quad \text{div } W|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

where B is a bounded operator and

$$(4.11) \quad CW^a = -g^{ab} \partial_b ((\partial_c h) W^c + p' \text{div } W),$$

into an operator onto the divergence free part and an operator on the complement.

The projection to highest order commutes with time differentiation:

$$(4.12) \quad P\ddot{W}_0 = \ddot{W}_0, \quad P\ddot{W}_1 = PB_2(W_1, \dot{W}_1), \quad B_2(W, \dot{W})^a = -g^{ab} (\ddot{g}_{bc} W^c + 2\dot{g}_{bc} \dot{W}^c)$$

where $\dot{g}_{ab} = \check{D}_t g_{ab}$, $\ddot{g}_{ab} = \check{D}_t^2 g_{ab}$, and $\check{D}_t = D_t - (\text{div } V)$. In fact, applying D_t^2 to $g_{ab} W_1^b = \partial_q q_1$ gives $g_{ab} \ddot{W}_1^b + 2\dot{g}_{ab} \dot{W}^b + \ddot{g}_{ab} W^b = \partial_a \ddot{q}_1$. Here $\ddot{q}_1 = D_t^2 q_1$ vanishes on the boundary since q_1 does. The projection of $g^{ab} \partial_b \ddot{q}_1$ therefore vanishes and (4.12) follows since $\hat{D}_t$ preserves the divergence free condition. Furthermore with A given by (4.5)-(4.7) and C by (4.11) we have

$$(4.13) \quad PCW = AW, \quad \text{if} \quad \text{div } W|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

since the projection of the highest order term, $\nabla(p' \text{div } W)$, vanishes since $\text{div } W|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$.

We now want to project (4.10) onto the divergence free vector fields using (4.12)-(4.13). We get

$$(4.14) \quad PLW = \ddot{W}_0 + AW_0 + PB_2(W_1, \dot{W}_1) + PAW_1 - PB(W, \dot{W})$$

where A is the normal operator (4.7). Similarly, applying $(I - P)$ to (4.10) gives

$$(4.15) \quad (I - P)LW = \ddot{W}_1 - PB_2(W_1, \dot{W}_1) - \nabla(p' \text{div } W_1) - (I - P)\nabla(W^c \partial_c h) - (I - P)B(W, \dot{W}),$$

subject to the boundary condition $\text{div } W_1|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\tilde{L}$ be defined by*

$$(4.16) \quad \tilde{L}W_0 = \ddot{W}_0 + AW_0,$$

$$(4.17) \quad \tilde{L}W_1 = \ddot{W}_1 - PB_2(W_1, \dot{W}_1) - \nabla(p' \operatorname{div} W_1)$$

and let $\tilde{M}$ be defined by

$$(4.18) \quad P\tilde{M}W = PB_2(W_1, \dot{W}_1) + PAW_1 - PB(W, \dot{W}),$$

$$(4.19) \quad (I - P)\tilde{M}W = -(I - P)\nabla(W^c \partial_c h) - (I - P)B(W, \dot{W})$$

We have

$$(4.20) \quad LW = \tilde{L}W + \tilde{M}W$$

If $P_0 = P$, $P_1 = (I - P)$ and $L_{ij} = P_i L P_j$ then $\tilde{L}$ respectively $\tilde{M}$ are essentially the diagonal respectively the off-diagonal part of L . It turns out that we can invert (4.16) on $\mathcal{H}_0$, see section 5, and (4.17) on $\mathcal{H}_1$, see section 6. The interaction term $\tilde{M}$ is lower order, but in subtle way, since it contains space derivatives ∂W . The estimates for (4.17) gives us control of an additional space derivative of W_1 and that is all that is needed to estimate (4.18). (4.19) also contains a space derivative of W_0 . However, in our estimates for (4.17) we can replace this space derivative by a time derivative and the estimates for (4.16) gives us control of an additional time derivative. The estimates for (4.16)-(4.17) will be summarized in section 7.

5. EXISTENCE AND ESTIMATES IN THE DIVERGENCE FREE CLASS.

In [L1] we proved existence of solutions for

$$(5.1) \quad \ddot{W}_0 + AW_0 = F_0, \quad W_0|_{t=0} = \tilde{W}_{00}, \quad \dot{W}_0|_{t=0} = \tilde{W}_{10}$$

We have

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose that x, h are smooth, $h|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and $\nabla_N h|_{\partial\Omega} \leq -c_0 < 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$. Then if initial data and the inhomogeneous term in (5.1) are smooth and divergence free it follows that (5.1) has a smooth solution for $0 \leq t \leq T$. Furthermore, with a constant C depending only on the norm of x and h , T and the constant c_0 we have*

$$(5.2) \quad E_{0r}(t) \leq C \left(E_{0r}(0) + \int_0^t \|F_0(\tau)\|_{H^r} d\tau \right), \quad E_{0r}(t) = \|\dot{W}_0(t)\|_{H^r} + \|W_0(t)\|_{H^r} + \langle W_0(t) \rangle_r$$

where

$$(5.3) \quad \|W(t, r)\|_{H^r} = \|W(t, \cdot)\|_{H^r(\Omega)}, \quad \langle W(t) \rangle_r = \|W_N(t, \cdot)\|_{H^r(\partial\Omega)}$$

and $W_N = N_a W^a$ is the normal component.

Proof. In case $\operatorname{div} V = 0$ this was proven in [L1] and the proof there can be easily modified by multiplying or dividing by $\kappa = \det(\partial x / \partial y)$. Let us now indicate what needs to be changed in [L1] in order to deal with the case $\operatorname{div} V \neq 0$. We can use the same set of tangential vector fields as in [L1], but they are no

longer divergence free so the Lie derivative with respect to these no longer preserves the divergence free condition. But one can easily modify the Lie derivative so it preserves the divergence free condition. The modified Lie derivative with respect to a vector field T applied to a vector field W is

$$(5.4) \quad \hat{\mathcal{L}}_T W = \mathcal{L}_T W + (\operatorname{div} T)W,$$

where $\mathcal{L}_T W$ is the Lie derivative. It satisfies $\operatorname{div} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_T W = \hat{T} \operatorname{div} W$, where for a function f , $\hat{T}f = Tf + (\operatorname{div} T)f$. One then has to make it so one always apply this modified Lie derivative to vector fields. However we use the usual Lie derivative, when applied to one forms since it commutes with covariant differentiation. In deriving the estimates for all components of a vector field in terms of the divergence, the curl and the tangential components we use $\mathcal{L}_T(g_{ab}W^b) = g_{ab}\hat{\mathcal{L}}_T W^b + (\check{\mathcal{L}}_T g_{ab})W^b$, where $\check{\mathcal{L}}_T g_{ab} = \mathcal{L}_T g_{ab} - (\operatorname{div} T)g_{ab}$. Let us now examine how the critical commutator with the normal operator, (4.5), is changed from what it was in [L1]. With A_f given by (4.5) and $\underline{A}_f W_a = g_{ab}A_f W^b$ we have

$$(5.5) \quad \mathcal{L}_T \underline{A}_f W_a = \mathcal{L}_T \partial_a((\partial_c f)W^c - q) = \partial_a((\partial_c f)\hat{\mathcal{L}}_T W + \partial_c(\check{T}f)W^c - Tq + f(\partial_c \operatorname{div} T)W^c)$$

where $\check{T}f = Tf - (\operatorname{div} T)f$. When we project again the last two terms vanish since they vanish on the boundary so the commutator relation in [L1] will be replaced by

$$(5.6) \quad P\mathcal{L}_T \underline{A}_f W = \underline{A}_f \hat{\mathcal{L}}_T W + \underline{A}_{\check{T}f} W$$

The issue of how to deal with the initial conditions in case $\operatorname{div} V \neq 0$ was discussed in section 3. $\square$

Now, the norms used in Proposition 5.1 are natural for the initial value problem. However, when solving the wave equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions it is more natural to first look on norms with many time derivatives. Because of the coupling between the two equations we must therefore also estimate more time derivatives of the divergence free part. From differentiating (5.1) we get:

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose that W is a smooth solution of (5.1) for $0 \leq t \leq T$. Let $E_{0,r}$ be as in (5.2) and*

$$(5.7) \quad \|W(t)\|_r = \sum_{s+k \leq r} \|W(t)\|_{k,s} \quad \text{where} \quad \|W(t)\|_{r,s} = \sum_{k=0}^s \|\hat{D}_t^k W(t)\|_{H^r}$$

Then for $0 \leq t \leq T$ we have

$$(5.8) \quad \|\dot{W}_0\|_r + \|W_0\|_r \leq C(E_{0,r} + \|F_0\|_{r-1}), \quad r \geq 1$$

Proof. The proof is just differentiation of (5.1) using that A is order one, (4.8);

$$(5.9) \quad \|\ddot{W}_0\|_{r-1} \leq C(\|W_0\|_r + \|F_0\|_{r-1}), \quad r \geq 1$$

which proves (5.8) for $r = 1$ so we may assume that $r \geq 2$ in (5.8). We have

$$(5.10) \quad \|W_0\|_r \leq \|W_0\|_{r,0} + \|\dot{W}_0\|_{r-1,0} + \|\ddot{W}_0\|_{r-2}, \quad r \geq 2$$

so together with (5.9) we get

$$(5.11) \quad \|W_0\|_r \leq C(E_{0,r} + \|W_0\|_{r-1} + \|F_0\|_{r-2}), \quad r \geq 2$$

Since also $\|W_0\|_1 \leq E_{0,1}$ we can use induction in r to prove that

$$(5.12) \quad \|W_0\|_r \leq C(E_{0,r} + \|F_0\|_{r-2}), \quad r \geq 2$$

Similarly

$$(5.13) \quad \|\dot{W}_0\|_r \leq \|\dot{W}_0\|_{r,0} + \|\ddot{W}_0\|_{r-1}, \quad r \geq 1$$

so by (5.9) again

$$(5.14) \quad \|\dot{W}_0\|_r \leq C(E_{0,r} + \|W_0\|_r + \|F_0\|_{r-1}), \quad r \geq 1$$

(5.8) for $r \geq 2$ now follows from (5.12) and (5.14). $\square$

Theorem 5.3. *With notation and assumptions as in Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 we have*

$$(5.15) \quad \tilde{E}_{0r}(t) \leq C \left(\tilde{E}_{0r}(0) + \int_0^t \|F_0(\tau)\|_r d\tau \right), \quad \tilde{E}_{0r}(t) = \|\dot{W}_0(t)\|_r + \|W_0(t)\|_r + \langle W_0(t) \rangle_r$$

Proof. (5.15) follows from Proposition 5.1 since

$$(5.16) \quad \|F_0(t)\|_{r-1} \leq \|F_0(0)\|_{r-1} + \int_0^t \|\dot{F}_0(\tau)\|_{r-1} d\tau, \quad \|F_0(0)\|_{r-1} \leq C(\|\dot{W}(0)\|_r + \|W(0)\|_r) \quad \square$$

6. EXISTENCE AND ESTIMATES FOR THE WAVE EQUATION.

We consider the Cauchy problem for the wave equation on a bounded domain with Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$(6.1) \quad \hat{D}_t^2(e'\psi) - \Delta\psi = f, \quad \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega, \quad \psi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

$$(6.2) \quad \psi|_{t=0} = \tilde{\psi}_0, \quad D_t\psi|_{t=0} = \tilde{\psi}_1$$

Here

$$(6.3) \quad \Delta\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g}} \partial_a \left(\sqrt{\det g} g^{ab} \partial_b \psi \right),$$

where g^{ab} is the inverse of the metric g_{ab} and $\det g = \det\{g_{ab}\} = \kappa^2$, in our earlier notation. We assume that g^{ab} is symmetric (since the metric is), and that g^{ab} and e' are smooth satisfying:

$$(6.4) \quad 0 < e' + 1/e' < c'_1, \quad \sum_{a,b=1}^n (|g^{ab}| + |g_{ab}|) \leq n c_1^2$$

for some constants $0 < c_1 < c'_1 < \infty$.

Existence of solutions for a wave equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions and initial conditions satisfying some compatibility conditions is well known, see e.g. [H,Ev]. In order for (6.1)-(6.2) to be solvable initial data must be compatible with the boundary condition. If we move the Laplacian in (6.1) over to the right hand side and differentiate (6.1) with respect to time we get

$$(6.5) \quad D_t^{k+2}\psi = b_k(\psi, \dots, D_t^{k+1}\psi, \partial\psi, \dots, \partial D_t^k\psi, \partial^2\psi, \dots, \partial^2 D_t^k\psi) + D_t^k f$$

for some functions b_k . We therefore define functions of the space variables only $\tilde{\psi}_k$

$$(6.6) \quad \tilde{\psi}_{k+2} = b_k(\tilde{\psi}_0, \dots, \tilde{\psi}_{k+1}, \partial\tilde{\psi}_0, \dots, \partial\tilde{\psi}_k, \partial^2\tilde{\psi}_0, \dots, \partial^2\tilde{\psi}_k)|_{t=0} + D_t^k f|_{t=0}$$

where $\tilde{\psi}_0$ and $\tilde{\psi}_1$ are as in (6.2). For this to be compatible with the boundary conditions we must have

$$(6.7) \quad \tilde{\psi}_k|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \text{for } k \leq m-1$$

(6.7) is called the m^{th} order compatibility condition. Since $\tilde{\psi}_k$ are determined from the initial conditions $\tilde{\psi}_0$ and $\tilde{\psi}_1$ this gives some compatibility conditions on the initial conditions. We have:

Proposition 6.0. *Suppose that g, e' are smooth satisfying (6.4). Then if initial data $(\tilde{\psi}_0, \tilde{\psi}_1)$ and f are smooth and satisfy the m^{th} order compatibility condition for all m , it follows that (6.1)-(6.2) has a smooth solution ψ .*

Proof. The result in [H] is stated with vanishing initial conditions but, if the compatibility conditions are satisfied, one can reduce to that case by subtracting off an approximate solution satisfying the equation to all orders as $t \rightarrow 0$. Let

$$(6.8) \quad \tilde{\psi} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \chi(t/\varepsilon_k) t^k \tilde{\psi}_k / k!,$$

where χ is smooth $\chi(s) = 1$ for $|s| \leq 1/2$ and $\chi(s) = 0$ for $|s| \geq 1$, and the sequence $\varepsilon_k > 0$ are chosen small enough so that the series converges in $C^m([0, T], H^m)$ for any m . This is obtained if take $(\|\tilde{\psi}_k\|_{H^k} + 1)\varepsilon_k \leq 1/2$. Then $\bar{\psi} = \psi - \tilde{\psi}$ satisfies (6.1) with vanishing initial conditions and a right hand side $\bar{f}$ that vanishes to all orders as $t \rightarrow 0$:

$$(6.9) \quad \hat{D}_t^2(e'\bar{\psi}) - \Delta\bar{\psi} = -\hat{D}_t^2(e'\tilde{\psi}) + \Delta\tilde{\psi} + f = \bar{f}$$

For this case existence of a smooth solution $\bar{\psi}$ to (6.9) follows from Theorem 24.1.1 in [H]. Since the theorem in [H] is more general let us just point out the main steps needed for our case. Existence follows from duality, using the Hahn-Banach extension theorem and the Riesz representation theorem. For this one has to show estimates for the adjoint operator in negative Sobolev spaces. Suppose that ψ satisfy (6.1) and let $\psi_N = (I - \Delta)^{-N}\psi$, where $N \geq 0$ and Δ is the Dirichlet Laplacian, i.e. inductively, we define ψ_k to be the solutions of $(I - \Delta)\psi_{k+1} = \psi_k$, with boundary conditions $\psi_{k+1}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. Then ψ_N satisfies (6.1) with f replaced by $f_N + (I - \Delta)^{-N}[\hat{D}_t^2, (I - \Delta)^N]\psi_N$, where $f_N = (I - \Delta)^{-N}f$. The norm of this is bounded by $\|f_N\| + \|\psi_N\| + \|D_t\psi_N\|$. Using the energy estimate in Lemma 6.2 then gives us an estimate $\|D_t\psi_N(t, \cdot)\| + \|\nabla\psi_N(t, \cdot)\| \leq C \int_0^t \|f_N\| d\tau$ $\square$

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose that g^{ab}, e', f and ψ are smooth and satisfy (6.1)-(6.4) for $0 \leq t \leq T$. Let $\nabla^a = g^{ab}\partial_b$ and, for $r \geq 1$,*

$$(6.10) \quad E(t) = \left(\sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (e'(D_t^{s+1}\psi)^2 + |\hat{D}_t^s \nabla \psi|^2 + \psi^2) \kappa dy \right)^{1/2},$$

Then

$$(6.11) \quad \frac{dE}{dt} \leq C(E + \|f\|_{0, r-1}), \quad \text{where} \quad \|\phi\|_{r, s} = \sum_{k \leq s, |\alpha| \leq r} \|\hat{D}_t^k \partial_y^\alpha \phi\|$$

Proof. We will prove that dE^2/dt is bounded by E times the right hand side of (6.11) and (6.11) follows from this since $dE/dt = (dE^2/dt)/(2E)$. Since $D_t\kappa = \kappa \operatorname{div} V$, we have with $\hat{D}_t = D_t + \operatorname{div} V$ and $\check{D}_t = D_t - \operatorname{div} V$:

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dE^2}{dt} &= \sum_{s \leq r-1} \int_{\Omega} \left(e'(D_t^{s+1}\psi)(D_t^{s+2}\psi) + g_{ab}(\hat{D}_t^s \nabla^a \psi)(\hat{D}_t^{s+1} \nabla^b \psi) \right) \kappa dy \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left((\hat{D}_t e')(D_t^{s+1}\psi)^2 + (\check{D}_t g_{ab})(\hat{D}_t^s \nabla^a \psi)(\hat{D}_t^s \nabla^b \psi) + \kappa^{-1}(D_t \kappa) \psi^2 + 2\psi D_t \psi \right) \kappa dy. \end{aligned}$$

Here the terms on the second row are bounded by a constant times E^2 . Applying D_t^{s+1} to $\partial_a \psi = g_{ab} \nabla^b \psi$ gives $\partial_a D_t^{s+1} \psi = \sum_{i=0}^{s+1} \binom{s+1}{i} (\check{D}_t^{s+1-i} g_{ab}) \hat{D}_t^i \nabla^b \psi$ so

$$(6.13) \quad \hat{D}_t^{s+1} \nabla^a \psi = g^{ab} \partial_a D_t^{s+1} \psi - \sum_{i=0}^s \binom{s+1}{i} g^{ab} (\check{D}_t^{s+1-i} g_{bc}) \hat{D}_t^i \nabla^c \psi.$$

Up to terms bounded by a constant times E^2 , (6.12) is therefore equal to

$$(6.14) \quad \sum_{s \leq r-1} \int_{\Omega} \left(e' (D_t^{s+1} \psi) (D_t^{s+2} \psi) + (\hat{D}_t^s \nabla^a \psi) (\partial_a D_t^{s+1} \psi) \right) \kappa dy \\ = \sum_{s \leq r} \int_{\Omega} \left((D_t^{s+1} \psi) (e' D_t^{s+2} \psi - \kappa^{-1} \partial_a (\kappa \hat{D}_t^s \nabla^a \psi)) \right) \kappa dy$$

where we have integrated by parts. If we apply $\hat{D}_t^s$ to $\hat{D}_t^2(e' \psi) - \kappa^{-1} \partial_a (\kappa \nabla^a \psi) = f$ we obtain

$$(6.15) \quad \hat{D}_t^s \left(\hat{D}_t^2(e' \psi) - \kappa^{-1} \partial_a (\kappa \nabla^a \psi) \right) = e' D_t^{s+2} \psi - \kappa^{-1} \partial_a (\kappa \hat{D}_t^s \nabla^a \psi) + \sum_{i=0}^{s+1} \binom{s+2}{i} (\hat{D}_t^{s+2-i} e') (D_t^i \psi).$$

Since the L^2 norm of the last term is bounded by CE plus the L^2 norm of ψ the lemma follows. $\square$

One can get additional space regularity from taking time derivatives of the equation (6.1) and solving the Dirichlet problem for the Laplacian.

Lemma 6.3. *Suppose that g^{ab} and e' are smooth and satisfy (6.4) and that f is smooth, for $0 \leq t \leq T$. Suppose also that ψ is a smooth solution of (6.1), for $0 \leq t \leq T$. Let $\|\psi\|_{s,r}$ be as in Theorem 6.1 and let $\|\psi\|_r = \sum_{s+k \leq r} \|D_t^s \psi\|_k$. Then*

$$(6.16) \quad \|\psi\|_r \leq C(\|\psi\|_{0,r} + \|\psi\|_{1,r-1} + \|f\|_{r-2}).$$

Proof. Since $\Delta \psi = \hat{D}_t^2(e' \psi) - f$ and $\psi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ it follows that

$$(6.17) \quad \Delta D_t^s \psi = \sum_{i=0}^{s+2} \binom{s+2}{i} (\hat{D}_t^{s+2-i} e') D_t^i \psi - \hat{D}_t^s f - [\hat{D}_t^s \Delta - \Delta D_t^s] \psi \quad D_t^s \psi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

so by the standard elliptic estimates

$$(6.18) \quad \|D_t^s \psi\|_{H^{k+2}} \leq C \left(\sum_{i=0}^{s+2} \|D_t^i \psi\|_{H^k} + \|\hat{D}_t^s f\|_{H^k} + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \|D_t^i \psi\|_{H^{k+2}} \right)$$

Here the last term is lower order and is absent if $s = 0$ so using induction in s we get

$$(6.19) \quad \sum_{i=0}^s \|D_t^i \psi\|_{H^{k+2}} \leq C \sum_{i=0}^s (\|D_t^{i+2} \psi\|_{H^k} + \|D_t^i f\|_{H^k})$$

or with $\|\psi\|_{r,s} = \sum_{k=0}^s \|D_t^k \psi\|_{H^r}$

$$(6.20) \quad \|\psi\|_{s+2, r-s-2} \leq C(\|\psi\|_{s, r-s} + \|f\|_{s, r-s-2}), \quad 0 \leq s \leq r-2$$

Since $\|\psi\|_r = \sum_{s=0}^r \|\psi\|_{r-s, s}$ it therefore inductively follows that

$$(6.21) \quad \|\psi\|_r \leq C(\|\psi\|_{0,r} + \|\psi\|_{1, r-1} + \|f\|_{r-2}) \quad \square$$

Summing up;

Proposition 6.4. *There are constants C_r such that the solution of (6.1) satisfy*

$$(6.22) \quad \|\psi(t, \cdot)\|_r \leq C_r \left(\|\psi(0, \cdot)\|_r + \int_0^t \|\dot{f}\|_{r-2} d\tau \right), \quad r \geq 2$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 6.2 that $E(t) \leq C_r(E(0) + \int_0^t \|f\|_{0,r-1} d\tau)$. Using (6.13) we see that the energy E in (6.10) is equivalent to $\|\psi\|_{0,r} + \|\psi\|_{1,r-1}$. Furthermore $\|f(t, \cdot)\|_{r-2} \leq \|f(0, \cdot)\|_{r-2} + \int_0^t \|\dot{f}(t, \cdot)\|_{r-2} d\tau$ and since also $\|f\|_{r-2} \leq C\|\psi\|_r$ the proposition follows from Lemma 6.3. $\square$

As pointed out in section 4 we actually want to solve the equation:

$$(6.23) \quad \ddot{W}_1 - PB_2(W_1, \dot{W}_1) - \nabla(p' \operatorname{div} W_1) = F_1, \quad \operatorname{div} W_1|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

$$(6.24) \quad W_1 = \nabla q_1, \quad q_1|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

where $(I - P)F_1 = F_1$, which is equivalent to

$$(6.25) \quad \hat{D}_t^2 \phi - \Delta(p' \phi) = \operatorname{div} F_1, \quad \phi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

$$(6.26) \quad W_1 = \nabla q_1, \quad \Delta q_1 = \phi, \quad q_1|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

In fact, for W_1 of the form (6.24) the left hand side of (6.23) is $(I - P)\ddot{W}_1 - (I - P)\nabla(p' \operatorname{div} W_1)$, and $(I - P)H = 0$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{div} H = 0$. Assuming that the compatibility conditions are satisfied we can solve (6.25)-(6.26) and this then also gives us a solution of (6.23)-(6.24). The initial conditions for (6.25) are

$$(6.27) \quad \phi|_{t=0} = \tilde{\phi}_0, \quad \hat{D}_t \phi|_{t=0} = \tilde{\phi}_1$$

Lemma 6.5. *Suppose that W_1 satisfies (6.23) and set*

$$(6.28) \quad E(t) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^r \int_{\Omega} (|\hat{D}_t^{s+1} W_1|^2 + p' |\operatorname{div}(\hat{D}_t^s W_1)|^2 + |W_1|^2) \kappa dy \right)^{1/2}.$$

Then

$$(6.29) \quad \frac{dE}{dt} \leq C(E + \|F_1\|_{0,r}).$$

Proof. Let $W_{1k} = \hat{D}_t^k W_1$,

$$(6.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dE^2}{dt} &= \sum_{s=0}^r \int_{\Omega} (\dot{W}_{1s} \cdot \ddot{W}_{1s} + p' \operatorname{div} W_{1s} \operatorname{div} \dot{W}_{1s}) \kappa dy \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} ((\ddot{D}_t g_{ab}) \dot{W}_{1s}^a \dot{W}_{1s}^b + (\ddot{D}_t p') (\operatorname{div} W_{1s})^2 + \kappa^{-1} (D_t \kappa) |W_1|^2 + 2 W_1 \cdot \dot{W}_1) \kappa dy. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts, using that $\operatorname{div} W_{1s}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, it therefore follows that

$$(6.31) \quad \frac{dE^2}{dt} \leq \sum_{s=0}^r \int_{\Omega} \dot{W}_{1s} \cdot (\ddot{W}_{1s} - \nabla(p' \operatorname{div} W_{1s})) \kappa dy + CE^2.$$

Using (6.23) this proves (6.29) for $r = 0$. To prove it for $r \geq 1$ we have to commute time derivatives through (6.23), which can be written

$$(6.32) \quad g_{ab} \ddot{W}_1^b - \partial_a(p' \operatorname{div} W_1) = g_{ab} \tilde{B}_0^b, \quad \tilde{B}_0(W_1, \dot{W}_1, F_1) = F_1 + PB_2(W_1, \dot{W}_1)$$

With $q = p'/\kappa$ we have

$$(6.33) \quad D_t^s(q^{-1} \partial_a(p' \operatorname{div} W_1)) = D_t^s \partial_a(\kappa \operatorname{div} W_1) + D_t^s((\partial_a \ln q) \kappa \operatorname{div} W_1) \\ = \partial_a(\kappa \operatorname{div} W_{1s}) + \sum_{k=0}^s \binom{s}{k} (\partial_a D_t^{s-k} \ln q) \kappa \operatorname{div} W_{1k} = q^{-1} \partial_a(p' \operatorname{div} W_{1s}) + \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \binom{s}{k} (\partial_a D_t^{s-k} \ln q) \kappa \operatorname{div} W_{1k}$$

Hence

$$(6.34) \quad q D_t^s(q^{-1} \partial_a(p' \operatorname{div} W_1)) = \partial_a(p' \operatorname{div} W_{1s}) + \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \binom{s}{k} (\partial_a D_t^{s-k} \ln q) p' \operatorname{div} W_{1k}$$

Multiplying (6.32) by q^{-1} , applying D_t^s and dividing by q^{-1} therefore gives

$$(6.35) \quad g_{ab} \ddot{W}_{1s}^b - \partial_a(p' \operatorname{div} W_{1s}) = g_{ab} \tilde{B}_s^b(W_1, \dots, \dot{W}_{1s}, \operatorname{div} W_1, \dots, \operatorname{div} W_{1s-1}, F_1, \dots, \hat{D}_t^s F_1)$$

where $\tilde{B}_s$ is a bounded operator of its arguments:

$$(6.36) \quad \|\tilde{B}_k\| \leq C \sum_{k=0}^s (\|W_{1k}\| + \|\dot{W}_{1k}\| + \|\hat{D}_t^k F_1\| + \|\operatorname{div} W_{1k}\|)$$

This together with (6.31) proves (6.29) also for $r \geq 1$. $\square$

Theorem 6.6. *Suppose that the initial conditions (6.27) and the inhomogeneous term in (6.25) are smooth and satisfy the compatibility conditions for all orders. Then (6.25)-(6.27) has a smooth ϕ . Furthermore with W_1 given by (6.26) we have*

$$(6.37) \quad E_{1r}(t) \leq C_r E_{1r}(0) + C_r \int_0^t \|\dot{F}_1(\tau)\|_{r-1} d\tau, \quad E_{1r}(t) = \|W_1(t)\|_{r+1}$$

for $r \geq 1$, and for $r = 0$ the same inequality holds with $\|\dot{F}_1(\tau)\|_{r-1}$ replaced by $\|F_1(\tau)\|_r$.

Proof. First we assume that $r \geq 2$. By the second part of (4.4) we see that with ϕ and W_1 as in (6.37)

$$(6.38) \quad \|\phi\|_r \leq \|\partial W_1\|_r + \|W_1\|_r \leq C \|\phi\|_r$$

where ∂ stands for space derivatives only. Furthermore by (6.23)

$$(6.39) \quad \|W_1\|_{r+1} \leq \|\partial W_1\|_r + \|W_1\|_r + \|\ddot{W}_1\|_{r-1} \leq C(\|\partial W_1\|_r + \|W_1\|_r + \|F_1\|_{r-1})$$

Since also

$$(6.40) \quad \|F_1(t)\|_{r-1} \leq \|F_1(0)\|_{r-1} + \int_0^t \|\dot{F}_1\|_{r-1} d\tau \leq C\|W_1(0)\|_{r+1} + \int_0^t \|\dot{F}_1\|_{r-1} d\tau$$

(6.37) for $r \geq 2$ follows from Proposition 6.4. For $r = 1$, (6.37) follows from Lemma 6.5 and the fact that by the second part of (4.4) $\|\partial D_t W_1\| \leq C(\|D_t \operatorname{div} W_1\| + \|\operatorname{div} W_1\|)$ and by (6.23) $\|\partial^2 W_1\| \leq C\|\partial \operatorname{div} W_1\| \leq C'(\|\ddot{W}_1\| + \|D_t \partial W_1\| + \|W_1\|) + \|F_1\|$. $\square$

7. THE PROOF OF THE THEOREM.

We are now in position to prove Theorem 3.1. We want to show that

$$(7.1) \quad LW = F, \quad \operatorname{div} W|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

with initial conditions

$$(7.2) \quad W|_{t=0} = \tilde{W}_0, \quad \dot{W}|_{t=0} = \tilde{W}_1,$$

has a smooth solution W if $\tilde{W}_0, \tilde{W}_1$ and F are smooth and satisfy the compatibility conditions in section 3 to all orders. If these compatibility conditions hold then we can find an approximate solution $\tilde{W}$ satisfying the initial conditions and the equation to all orders as $t \rightarrow 0$. Subtracting off this approximate solution reduces it to finding a smooth solution to (7.1) when $\tilde{W}_0 = \tilde{W}_1 = 0$ and F vanishes to all orders as $t \rightarrow 0$.

With $\tilde{L}$ and $\tilde{M}$ are as in Lemma 4.1 we have reduced to finding a smooth solution of

$$(7.3) \quad \tilde{L}W = \tilde{F}, \quad \operatorname{div} W|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

where

$$(7.4) \quad \tilde{F} = F - \tilde{M}W.$$

That the operator $\tilde{L}$ is invertible follows from using the decomposition $W = W_0 + W_1$, in Lemma 4.1 and applying Theorem 5.3 to the divergence free part W_0 and Theorem 6.6 to W_1 . This in fact gives estimates for the solution of (7.3) that can be used to show existence for (7.3) with $\tilde{F}$ given by (7.4) by iteration, and we hence obtain a solution to (7.1). Let us introduce the norms:

$$(7.5) \quad |||W|||_{r,1} = \|\dot{W}_0\|_r + \|W_0\|_r + \langle W_0 \rangle_r + \|W_1\|_{r+1}, \quad W_0 = PW, \quad W_1 = (I - P)W$$

and

$$(7.6) \quad |||F|||_{r,2} = \|F_0\|_r + \|\dot{F}_1\|_{r-1}, \quad F_0 = PF, \quad F_1 = (I - P)F.$$

It now follows from Lemma 4.1, Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 6.6:

Theorem 7.1. *Suppose that (x, h) is a smooth solution to (2.7), (for $0 \leq t \leq T$), such that $h|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and $\nabla_N h|_{\partial\Omega} \leq -c_0 < 0$. Suppose also that $\tilde{F}$, $\tilde{W}_0$ and $\tilde{W}_1$ are smooth and such that there is a smooth function $\tilde{W}$ satisfying the initial conditions (7.2), the boundary condition $\operatorname{div} \tilde{W}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and $\tilde{L}\tilde{W} = \tilde{F}$ to all orders as $t \rightarrow 0$, i.e. $D_t^k(L\tilde{W} - \tilde{F})|_{t=0} = 0$, for $k \geq 0$. Then (7.2)-(7.3) has a smooth solution W .*

Furthermore, there are constants C_r such that for any smooth solution of (7.3) we have

$$(7.7) \quad |||W(t)|||_{r,1} \leq C_r \left(|||W(0)|||_{r,1} + \int_0^t |||\tilde{F}|||_{r,2} d\tau \right), \quad r \geq 1.$$

Moreover,

$$(7.8) \quad |||\tilde{M}W|||_{r,2} \leq C_r |||W|||_{r,1}.$$

We remark that the compatibility conditions in the theorem are in particular true if $\tilde{W}_0 = \tilde{W}_1 = 0$ and $D_t^k \tilde{F}|_{t=0} = 0$, for $k \geq 0$, since then we can take $\tilde{W} = 0$. Therefore if $D_t^k F|_{t=0} = 0$, for $k \geq 0$ and we set $W^0 = 0$, it follows that we can inductively solve, for $k \geq 0$:

$$(7.9) \quad \tilde{L}W^{k+1} = F - \tilde{M}W^k, \quad \operatorname{div} W^{k+1}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad W^{k+1}|_{t=0} = \dot{W}^{k+1}|_{t=0} = 0,$$

We claim that W^k converges to a solution of (7.3)-(7.4) and hence to (7.1), in case $D_t^k F|_{t=0} = 0$, for $k \geq 0$ and $\tilde{W}_0 = \tilde{W}_1 = 0$. Since we have already reduced solving (7.1)-(7.2) to this case this would prove the existence part of Theorem 3.1. That W^k converges to a smooth solution of (7.3)-(7.4) follows from using the estimate in Theorem 7.1. In fact, $\tilde{L}(W^1 - W^0) = F$, and for $k \geq 1$ $\tilde{L}(W^{k+1} - W^k) = -\tilde{M}(W^k - W^{k-1})$. It therefore follows from Theorem 7.1 that

$$(7.10) \quad M_N \leq C_r \int_0^t \left(\|F\|_{r,2} + M_N \right) d\tau, \quad \text{where} \quad M_N = \sum_{k=0}^N \|W^{k+1} - W^k\|_{r,1}$$

It now follows from a standard Grönwall type of argument that

$$(7.11) \quad M_N(t) \leq C_r e^{C_r t} \int_0^t \|F\|_{r,2} d\tau$$

for any N . It follows from this that W^k converges to a smooth solution W of (7.3)-(7.4) for $0 \leq t \leq T$ and therefore we have proven existence of smooth solutions for (7.1)-(7.2).

Having proven existence of a smooth solution to (7.1)-(7.2) we now also need to prove the estimate in Theorem 3.1. Applying the estimate in Theorem 7.1 gives

$$(7.12) \quad \|W(t)\|_{r,1} \leq C_r \left(\|W(0)\|_{r,1} + \int_0^t \|F\|_{r,2} + \|W\|_{r,1} d\tau \right).$$

By the same Grönwall type of argument as above we get

$$(7.13) \quad \|W(t)\|_{r,1} \leq C_r e^{C_r t} \left(\|W(0)\|_{r,1} + \int_0^t \|F\|_{r,2} d\tau \right), \quad r \geq 1.$$

It therefore only remains to observe that the norms in Theorem 3.1 are equivalent to those here. It follows from the continuity of the projection (4.4) that

$$(7.14) \quad \|\dot{W}\|_r + \|W\|_r \leq \|\dot{W}_0\|_r + \|\dot{W}_1\|_r + \|W_0\|_r + \|W_1\|_r \leq C_r (\|\dot{W}\|_r + \|W\|_r)$$

Furthermore, by the second part of (4.4) and Sobolev's lemma

$$(7.15) \quad \|\operatorname{div} W\|_r \leq \|W_1\|_{r+1} \leq C_r \|\operatorname{div} W\|_r, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle W_1 \rangle_r \leq C_r \|W_1\|_{r+1}$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Demetrios Christodoulou, David Ebin and Kate Okikiolu for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [BG]. M.S. Baouendi and C. Goulaouic, *Remarks on the abstract form of nonlinear Cauchy-Kovalevsky theorems*, Comm. Part. Diff. Eq. **2** (1977), 1151-1162.
- [BHL]. T. Beale, T. Hou, J. Lowengrub, *Growth Rates for the Linearized Motion of Fluid Interfaces away from Equilibrium*, CPAM **XLVI**(no 9) (1993), 1269-1301.
- [C1]. D. Christodoulou, *Self-Gravitating Relativistic Fluids: A Two-Phase Model*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **130** (1995), 343-400.
- [C2]. D. Christodoulou, *Oral Communication* (August 95).
- [CK]. D. Christodoulou and S. Klainerman, *The Nonlinear Stability of the Minkowski space-time*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1993.
- [CL]. D. Christodoulou and H. Lindblad, *On the motion of the free surface of a liquid.*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **53** (2000), 1536-1602.
- [CF]. R. Courant and K. O. Friedrichs, *Supersonic flow and shock waves*, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [Cr]. W. Craig, *An existence theory for water waves and the Boussinesq and Korteweg-de Vries scaling limits*, Comm. in P. D. E. **10** (1985), 787-1003.
- [DM]. B. Dacorogna and J. Moser, *On a partial differential equation involving the Jacobian determinant.*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non. Linéaire **7** (1990), 1-26.
- [DN]. S. Dain and G. Nagy, *Initial data for fluid bodies in general relativity*, Phys. Rev. D **65** (2002), 084020, 15pp.
- [E1]. D. Ebin, *The equations of motion of a perfect fluid with free boundary are not well posed.*, Comm. Part. Diff. Eq. **10** (1987), 1175-1201.
- [E2]. D. Ebin, *Oral communication* (November 1997).
- [Ev]. C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations* (1998), AMS.
- [F]. H. Friedrich, *Evolution equations for gravitating ideal fluid bodies in general relativity*, Phys. Rev. D **57** (1998), 2317-2322.
- [FN]. H. Friedrich and G. Nagy, *The initial boundary value problem for Einstein's vacuum field equation*, Commun. Math. Phys. **201** (1999), 619-655.
- [H]. L. Hörmander, *The analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators III* (1994), Springer Verlag.
- [L1]. H. Lindblad, *Well posedness for the linearized motion of an incompressible liquid with free surface boundary.*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **56** (2003), 153-197.
- [L2]. ———, *Well posedness for the motion of the free surface of a liquid.*, preprint (January 2002).
- [L3]. ———, *Well posedness for the motion of the free surface of a compressible liquid.*, preprint (April 2002).
- [Na]. V.I. Nalimov,, *The Cauchy-Poisson Problem (in Russian)*, Dynamika Splosh. Sredy **18** (1974), 104-210.
- [Ni]. T. Nishida, *A note on a theorem of Nirenberg*, J. Diff. Geometry **12** (1977), 629-633.
- [R]. A. D. Rendall, *The initial value problem for a class of general relativistic fluid bodies*, J. Math. Phys. (1992), 1047-1053.
- [W1]. S. Wu, *Well-posedness in Sobolev spaces of the full water wave problem in 2-D*, Invent. Math. **130** (1997), 39-72.
- [W2]. S. Wu, *Well-posedness in Sobolev spaces of the full water wave problem in 3-D*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), 445-495.
- [Y]. H. Yoshihara, *Gravity Waves on the Free Surface of an Incompressible Perfect Fluid* **18** (1982), Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ., 49-96.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT SAN DIEGO

E-mail address: lindblad@math.ucsd.edu